Introduction to number theory-7

May 8, 2017

2.3 The Euler Phi Function

An arithmetic function is a function defined on the positive integers. The Euler phi function $\varphi(m)$ is the arithmetic function that counts the number of integers in the set $0, 1, 2, \ldots, m-1$ that are relatively prime to m. We have

If p is a prime number, then (a,p)=1 for $a=1,\ldots,p-1$, and $\varphi(p)=p-1$. If p^r is a prime power and $0 \le a \le p^r-1$, then $(a,p^r)>1$ if and only if a is a multiple of p. The integral multiples of p in the interval $[0,p^r-1]$ are the p^{r-1} numbers $0,p,2p,3p,\ldots,(p^{r-1}-1)p$, and so

$$\varphi(p^r) = p^r - p^{r-1} = p^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

In this section we shall obtain some important properties of the Euler phi function.

Theorem 2.6 Let m and n be relatively prime positive integers. For every integer c there exist unique integers a and b such that

$$0 \le a \le n - 1,$$

$$0 \le b \le m - 1,$$

and

$$c \equiv ma + nb \pmod{mn}. \tag{2.4}$$

Moreover, (c, mn) = 1 if and only if (a, n) = (b, m) = 1 in the representation (2.4).

Proof. If a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 are integers such that

$$ma_1 + nb_1 \equiv ma_2 + nb_2 \pmod{mn},$$

then

$$ma_1 \equiv ma_1 + nb_1 \equiv ma_2 + nb_2 \equiv ma_2 \pmod{n}$$
.

Since (m, n) = 1, it follows that

$$a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{n}$$
,

and so $a_1 = a_2$. Similarly, $b_1 = b_2$. It follows that the mn integers ma + nb are pairwise incongruent modulo mn. Since there are exactly mn distinct congruence classes modulo mn, the congruence (2.4) has a unique solution for every integer c.

Let $c \equiv ma + nb \pmod{mn}$. Since (m, n) = 1, we have

$$(c,m) = (ma + nb, m) = (nb, m) = (b, m)$$

and

$$(c,n) = (ma + nb, n) = (ma, n) = (a, n).$$

It follows that (c, mn) = 1 if and only if (c, m) = (c, n) = 1 if and only if (b, m) = (a, n) = 1. This completes the proof. \Box

For example, we can represent the congruence classes modulo 6 as linear combinations of 2 and 3 as follows:

$$0 \equiv 0 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 3 \pmod{6},$$

$$1 \equiv 2 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 \pmod{6},$$

$$2 \equiv 1 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 3 \pmod{6},$$

$$3 \equiv 0 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 \pmod{6},$$

$$4 \equiv 2 \cdot 2 + 0 \cdot 3 \pmod{6},$$

$$5 \ \equiv \ 1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 \pmod 6.$$

A multiplicative function is an arithmetic function f(m) such that f(mn) = f(m)f(n) for all pairs of relatively prime positive integers m and n. If f(m) is multiplicative, then it is easy to prove by induction on k that if m_1, \ldots, m_k are pairwise relatively prime positive integers, then $f(m_1 \cdots m_k) = f(m_1) \cdots f(m_k)$.

Theorem 2.7 The Euler phi function is multiplicative. Moreover,

$$\varphi(m) = m \prod_{p|m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

Proof. Let (m,n)=1. There are $\varphi(mn)$ congruence classes in the ring $\mathbb{Z}/mn\mathbb{Z}$ that are relatively prime to mn. By Theorem 2.6, every congruence class modulo mn can be written uniquely in the form $ma+nb+mn\mathbb{Z}$, where a and b are integers such that $0 \le a \le n-1$ and $0 \le b \le m-1$. Moreover, the congruence class $ma+nb+mn\mathbb{Z}$ is prime to mn if and only if (b,m)=(a,n)=1. Since there are $\varphi(n)$ integers $a \in [0,n-1]$ that are relatively prime to n, and $\varphi(m)$ integers $b \in [0,m-1]$ relatively prime to m, it follows that $\varphi(mn)=\varphi(m)\varphi(n)$, and so the Euler phi function is multiplicative. If m_1,\ldots,m_k are pairwise relatively prime positive integers, then $\varphi(m_1\cdots m_k)=\varphi(m_1)\cdots \varphi(m_k)$. In particular, if $m=p_1^{r_1}\cdots p_k^{r_k}$ is the standard factorization of m, where p_1,\ldots,p_k are distinct primes and r_1,\ldots,r_k are positive integers, then

$$\varphi(m) = \prod_{i=1}^k \varphi\left(p_i^{r_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{r_i} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right) = m \prod_{p \mid m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

This completes the proof. \Box

For example, $7875 = 3^25^37$ and

$$\varphi(7875) = \varphi(3^2)\varphi(5^3)\varphi(7) = (9-3)(125-25)(7-1) = 3600.$$

Theorem 2.8 For every positive integer m,

$$\sum_{d|m} \varphi(d) = m.$$

Proof. We first consider the case where $m = p^t$ is a power of a prime p. The divisors of p^t are $1, p, p^2, \ldots, p^t$, and

$$\sum_{d|p^t} \varphi(d) = \sum_{r=0}^t \varphi(p^r) = 1 + \sum_{r=1}^t \left(p^r - p^{r-1} \right) = p^t.$$

Next we consider the general case where m has the standard factorization

$$m = p_1^{t_1} p_2^{t_2} \cdots p_k^{t_k},$$

where p_1, \ldots, p_k are distinct prime numbers and t_1, \ldots, t_k are positive integers. Every divisor d of m is of the form

$$d = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_k^{r_k},$$

where $0 \le r_i \le t_i$ for i = 1, ..., k. By Theorem 2.7, $\varphi(d)$ is multiplicative, and so

$$\varphi(d) = \varphi(p_1^{r_1})\varphi(p_2^{r_2})\cdots\varphi(p_k^{r_k}).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{d|m} \varphi(d) = \sum_{r_1=0}^{t_1} \cdots \sum_{r_k=0}^{t_k} \varphi(p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k})$$

$$= \sum_{r_1=0}^{t_1} \cdots \sum_{r_k=0}^{t_k} \varphi(p_1^{r_1}) \varphi(p_2^{r_2}) \cdots \varphi(p_k^{r_k})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k \sum_{r_i=0}^{t_i} \varphi(p_i^{r_i})$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{t_i}$$

$$= m.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

For example,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{d|12} \varphi(d) &= \varphi(1) + \varphi(2) + \varphi(3) + \varphi(4) + \varphi(6) + \varphi(12) \\ &= 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 4 \\ &= 12 \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \sum_{d|45} \varphi(d) &= \varphi(1) + \varphi(3) + \varphi(5) + \varphi(9) + \varphi(15) + \varphi(45) \\ &= 1 + 2 + 4 + 6 + 8 + 24 \\ &= 45. \end{split}$$

2.4 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem 2.9 Let m and n be positive integers. For any integers a and b there exists an integer x such that

$$x \equiv a \pmod{m} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$x \equiv b \pmod{n} \tag{2.6}$$

if and only if

$$a \equiv b \pmod{(m,n)}$$
.

If x is a solution of congruences (2.5) and (2.6), then the integer y is also a solution if and only if

$$x \equiv y \pmod{[m, n]}$$
.



Proof. If x is a solution of congruence (2.5), then x = a + mu for some integer u. If x is also a solution of congruence (2.6), then

$$x = a + mu \equiv b \pmod{n},$$

that is,

$$a + mu = b + nv$$

for some integer v. It follows that

$$a - b = nv - mu \equiv 0 \pmod{(m, n)}.$$

Conversely, if $a-b\equiv 0\pmod{(m,n)},$ then by Theorem 1.15 there exist integers u and v such that

$$a - b = nv - mu$$
.

Then

$$x = a + mu = b + nv$$

is a solution of the two congruences.



An integer y is another solution of the congruences if and only if

$$y \equiv a \equiv x \pmod{m}$$

and

$$y \equiv b \equiv x \pmod{n}$$
,

that is, if and only if x-y is a common multiple of m and n, or, equivalently, x-y is divisible by the least common multiple [m,n]. This completes the proof. \Box

For example, the system of congruences

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{21},$$
$$x \equiv 19 \pmod{56},$$

has a solution, since

$$(56, 21) = 7$$

and

$$19 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$
.

The integer x is a solution if there exists an integer u such that

$$x=5+21u\equiv 19\pmod{56},$$

that is,

$$21u \equiv 14 \pmod{56}$$
,

$$3u \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$$
,

or

$$u \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$$
.

Then

$$x = 5 + 21u = 5 + 21(6 + 8v) = 131 + 168v$$

is a solution of the system of congruences for any integer v, and so the set of all solutions is the congruence class $131 + 168\mathbf{Z}$.

Theorem 2.10 (Chinese remainder theorem) Let $k \geq 2$. If a_1, \ldots, a_k are integers and m_1, \ldots, m_k are pairwise relatively prime positive integers, then there exists an integer x such that

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$
 for all $i = 1, \dots, k$.

If x is any solution of this set of congruences, then the integer y is also a solution if and only if

$$x \equiv y \pmod{m_1 \cdots m_k}$$
.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on k. If k = 2, then $[m_1, m_2] = m_1 m_2$, and this is a special case of Theorem 2.9.

Let $k \geq 3$, and assume that the statement is true for k-1 congruences. Then there exists an integer z such that $z \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k-1$. Since m_1, \ldots, m_k are pairwise relatively prime integers, we have

$$(m_1\cdots m_{k-1},m_k)=1,$$

and so, by the case k=2, there exists an integer x such that

$$x \equiv z \pmod{m_1 \cdots m_{k-1}},$$

 $x \equiv a_k \pmod{m_k}.$

Then

$$x \equiv z \equiv a_i \pmod{m_i}$$

for
$$i = 1, ..., k - 1$$
.

If y is another solution of the system of k congruences, then x-y is divisible by m_i for all $i=1,\ldots,k$. Since m_1,\ldots,m_k are pairwise relatively prime, it follows that x-y is divisible by $m_1\cdots m_k$. This completes the proof. \square

For example, the system of congruences

$$\begin{array}{cccc} x & \equiv & 2 \pmod{3}, \\ x & \equiv & 3 \pmod{5}, \\ x & \equiv & 5 \pmod{7}, \\ x & \equiv & 7 \pmod{11} \end{array}$$

has a solution, since the moduli are pairwise relatively prime. The solution to the first two congruences is the congruence class

$$x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$
.

The solution to the first three congruences is the congruence class

$$x \equiv 68 \pmod{105}$$
.

The solution to the four congruences is the congruence class

$$x \equiv 1118 \pmod{1155}$$
.

There is an important application of the Chinese remainder theorem to the problem of solving diophantine equations of the form

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_k)\equiv 0\pmod{m},$$

where $f(x_1, ..., x_k)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients in one or several variables. This equation is *solvable modulo* m if there exist integers $a_1, ..., a_k$ such that

$$f(a_1,\ldots,a_k)\equiv 0\pmod{m}$$
.

The Chinese remainder theorem allows us to reduce the question of the solvability of this congruence modulo m to the special case of prime power moduli p^r . For simplicity, we consider polynomials in only one variable.

Theorem 2.11 Let

$$m = p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_k^{r_k}$$

be the standard factorization of the positive integer m. Let f(x) be a polynomial with integral coefficients. The congruence

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$$

is solvable if and only if the congruences

$$f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}$$

are solvable for all $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Proof. If $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ has a solution in integers, then there exists an integer a such that m divides f(a). Since $p_i^{r_i}$ divides m, it follows that $p_i^{r_i}$ divides f(a), and so the congruences $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}$ are solvable for $i = 1, \ldots, k$.

Conversely, suppose that the congruences $f(x) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}$ are solvable for i = 1, ..., k. Then for each i there exists an integer a_i such that

$$f(a_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}.$$

Since the prime powers $p_1^{r_1}, \ldots, p_k^{r_k}$ are pairwise relatively prime, the Chinese remainder theorem tells us that there exists an integer a such that

$$a \equiv a_i \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}$$

for all i. Then

$$f(a) \equiv f(a_i) \equiv 0 \pmod{p_i^{r_i}}$$

for all i. Since f(a) is divisible by each of the prime powers $p_i^{r_i}$, it is also divisible by their product m, and so $f(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. This completes the proof. \square

For example, consider the congruence

$$f(x) = x^2 - 34 \equiv 0 \pmod{495}$$
.

Since $495 = 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$, it suffices to solve the congruences

$$f(x) = x^2 - 34 \equiv x^2 + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{9},$$

$$f(x) = x^2 - 34 \equiv x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

and

$$f(x) = x^2 - 34 \equiv x^2 - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$
.

These congruences have solutions

$$f(5) \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$$
,

$$f(2) \equiv 0 \pmod{5},$$

and

$$f(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}.$$

By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exists an integer a such that

$$a \equiv 5 \pmod{9},$$

 $a \equiv 2 \pmod{5},$
 $a \equiv 1 \pmod{11}.$

Solving these congruences, we obtain

$$a \equiv 122 \pmod{495}$$
.

We can check that

$$f(122) = 122^2 - 34 = 14,850 = 30 \cdot 495,$$

and so

$$f(122) \equiv 0 \pmod{495}.$$

2.5 Euler's Theorem and Fermat's Theorem

Theorem 2.12 (Euler) Let m be a positive integer, and let a be an integer relatively prime to m. Then

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

Proof. Let $\{r_1, \ldots, r_{\varphi(m)}\}$ be a reduced set of residues modulo m. Since (a, m) = 1, we have $(ar_i, m) = 1$ for $i = 1, \ldots, \varphi(m)$. Consequently, for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, \varphi(m)\}$ there exists $\sigma(i) \in \{1, \ldots, \varphi(m)\}$ such that

$$ar_i \equiv r_{\sigma(i)} \pmod{m}$$
.

Moreover, $ar_i \equiv ar_j \pmod{m}$ if and only if i = j, and so σ is a permutation of the set $\{1, \ldots, \varphi(m)\}$ and $\{ar_1, \ldots, ar_{\varphi(m)}\}$ is also a reduced set of residues modulo m. It follows that

$$a^{\varphi(m)}r_1r_2\cdots r_{\varphi(m)} \equiv (ar_1)(ar_2)\cdots (ar_{\varphi(m)}) \pmod{m}$$
$$\equiv r_{\sigma(1)}r_{\sigma(2)}\cdots r_{\sigma(\varphi(m))} \pmod{m}$$
$$\equiv r_1r_2\cdots r_{\varphi(m)} \pmod{m}.$$

Dividing by $r_1r_2\cdots r_{\varphi(m)}$, we obtain

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

This completes the proof. \Box



Theorem 2.13 (Fermat) Let p be a prime number. If the integer a is not divisible by p, then

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$
.

Moreover,

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

for every integer a.

Proof. If p is prime and does not divide a, then (a, p) = 1, $\varphi(p) = p - 1$, and

$$a^{p-1}=a^{\varphi(p)}\equiv 1\pmod p$$

by Euler's theorem. Multiplying this congruence by a, we obtain

$$a^p \equiv a \pmod{p}$$
.

If p divides a, then this congruence also holds for a. \square

Let m be a positive integer and let a be an integer that is relatively prime to m. By Euler's theorem, $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. The order of a with respect to the modulus m is the smallest positive integer d such that $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $1 \leq d \leq \varphi(m)$. We denote the order of a modulo m by $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$. We shall prove that $\operatorname{ord}_m(a)$ divides $\varphi(m)$ for every integer a relatively prime to p.

Theorem 2.14 Let m be a positive integer and a an integer relatively prime to m. If d is the order of a modulo m, then $a^k \equiv a^\ell \pmod{m}$ if and only if $k \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$. In particular, $a^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ if and only if d divides n, and so d divides $\varphi(m)$.

Proof. Since a has order d modulo m, we have $a^d \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. If $k \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$, then $k = \ell + dq$, and so

$$a^k = a^{\ell + dq} = a^{\ell} (a^d)^q \equiv a^{\ell} \pmod{m}.$$

Conversely, suppose that $a^k \equiv a^\ell \pmod{m}$. By the division algorithm, there exist integers q and r such that

$$k - \ell = dq + r$$
 and $0 \le r \le d - 1$.

Then

$$a^k = a^{\ell + dq + r} = a^{\ell} (a^d)^q a^r \equiv a^k a^r \pmod{m}.$$

Since $(a^k, m) = 1$, we can divide this congruence by a^k and obtain

$$a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

Since $0 \le r \le d-1$, and d is the order of a modulo m, it follows that r = 0, and so $k \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$.

If $a^n \equiv 1 \equiv a^0 \pmod{m}$, then d divides n. In particular, d divides $\varphi(m)$, since $a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ by Euler's theorem. \square

Theorem 2.15 (Lagrange's theorem) If G is a finite group and H is a subgroup of G, then the order of H divides the order of G.

Proof. Let G be a group, written multiplicatively, and let X be a nonempty subset of G. For every $a \in G$ we define the set

$$aX = \{ax : x \in X\}.$$

The map $f: X \to aX$ defined by f(x) = ax is a bijection, and so |X| = |aX| for all $a \in G$. If H is a subgroup of G, then aH is called a coset of H. Let aH and bH be cosets of the subgroup H. If $aH \cap bH \neq \emptyset$, then there exist $x,y \in H$ such that ax = by, or, since H is a subgroup, $b = axy^{-1} = az$, where $z = xy^{-1} \in H$. Then $bh = azh \in aH$ for all $h \in H$, and so $bH \subseteq aH$. By symmetry, $aH \subseteq bH$, and so aH = bH. Therefore, cosets of a subgroup H are either disjoint or equal. Since every element of G belongs to some coset of H (for example, $a \in aH$ for all $a \in G$), it follows that the cosets of H partition G. We denote the set of cosets by G/H. If G is a finite group, then H and G/H are finite, and

$$|G| = |H||G/H|.$$

In particular, we see that |H| divides |G|. \square



Let G be a group, written multiplicatively, and let $a \in G$. Let $H = \{a^k : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then $1 = a^0 \in H \subseteq G$. Since $a^k a^\ell = a^{k+\ell}$ for all $k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$, it follows that H is a subgroup of G. This subgroup is called the *cyclic subgroup generated by a*, and written $\langle a \rangle$. Cyclic subgroups are abelian.

The group G is cyclic if there exists an element $a \in G$ such that $G = \langle a \rangle$. In this case, the element a is called a generator of G. For example, the group $(\mathbf{Z}/7\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ is a cyclic group of order 6 generated by $3+7\mathbf{Z}$. The congruence class $5+7\mathbf{Z}$ is another generator of this group.

If $a^k \neq a^\ell$ for all integers $k \neq \ell$, then the cyclic subgroup generated by a is infinite. If there exist integers k and ℓ such that $k < \ell$ and $a^k = a^\ell$, then $a^{\ell-k} = 1$. Let d be the smallest positive integer such that $a^d = 1$. Then the group elements $1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{d-1}$ are distinct. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the division algorithm, there exist integers q and r such that n = dq + r and $0 \leq r \leq d-1$. Since

$$a^n = a^{dq+r} = \left(a^d\right)^q a^r = a^r,$$

it follows that

$$\langle a \rangle = \{a^n : n \in \mathbf{Z}\} = \{a^r : 0 \le r \le d - 1\},$$

and the cyclic subgroup generated by a has order d. Moreover, $a^k = a^\ell$ if and only if $k \equiv \ell \pmod{d}$.

Let G be a group, and let $a \in G$. We define the *order* of a as the cardinality of the cyclic subgroup generated by a.

Theorem 2.16 Let G be a finite group, and $a \in G$. Then the order of the element a divides the order of the group G.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.15, since the order of a is the order of the cyclic subgroup that a generates. \square

Let us apply these remarks to the special case when $G = (\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})^{\times}$ is the group of units in the ring of congruence classes modulo m. Then G is a finite group of order $\varphi(m)$. Let (a,m)=1 and let d be the order of $a+m\mathbf{Z}$ in G, that is, the order of the cyclic subgroup generated by $a+m\mathbf{Z}$. By Theorem 2.16, d divides $\varphi(m)$, and so

$$a^{\varphi(m)} + m\mathbf{Z} = (a + m\mathbf{Z})^{\varphi(m)} = \left((a + m\mathbf{Z})^d \right)^{\varphi(m)/d} = 1 + m\mathbf{Z}.$$

Equivalently,

$$a^{\varphi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$$
.

This is Euler's theorem.

Proof. Let S be the set of all integers u such that $a^u \in H$. If $u, v \in S$, then $a^u, a^v \in H$. Since H is a subgroup, it follows that $a^u a^v = a^{u+v} \in H$ and $a^u(a^v)^{-1} = a^{u-v} \in H$. Therefore, $u \pm v \in S$, and S is a subgroup of \mathbf{Z} . By Theorem 1.3, there is a unique nonnegative integer d such that $S = d\mathbf{Z}$, and so H is the cyclic subgroup generated by a^d . Since $a^m = 1 \in H$, we have $m \in S$, and so d is a positive divisor of m. It follows that H has order m/d. \square

Theorem 2.18 Let G be a cyclic group of order m, and let a be a generator of G. For every integer k, the cyclic subgroup generated by a^k has order m/d, where d=(m,k), and $\langle a^k \rangle = \langle a^d \rangle$. In particular, G has exactly $\varphi(m)$ generators.

Proof. Since d = (k, m), there exist integers x and y such that d = kx + my. Then

$$a^{d} = a^{kx+my} = (a^{k})^{x} (a^{m})^{y} = (a^{k})^{x},$$

and so $a^d \in \langle a^k \rangle$ and $\langle a^d \rangle \subseteq \langle a^k \rangle$. Since d divides k, there exists an integer z such that k=dz. Then

$$a^k = \left(a^d\right)^z,$$

and so $a^k \in \langle a^d \rangle$ and $\langle a^k \rangle \subseteq \langle a^d \rangle$. Therefore, $\langle a^k \rangle = \langle a^d \rangle$ and a^k has order m/d. In particular, a^k generates G if and only if d=1 if and only if (m,k)=1, and so G has exactly $\varphi(m)$ generators. This completes the proof. \square

We can now give a group theoretic proof of Theorem 2.8. Let G be a cyclic group of order m. For every divisor d of m, the group G has a unique cyclic subgroup of order d, and this subgroup has exactly $\varphi(d)$ generators. Since every element of G generates a cyclic subgroup, it follows that

$$m = \sum_{d|m} \varphi(d).$$

thank you